

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action mailed April 14, 2009, claims 1, 4, 7, 9, and 10 were rejected. Additionally, claim 8 was objected to, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In response, Applicants hereby request reconsideration of the application in view of the proposed amendments and the below-provided remarks.

For reference, proposed amendments are presented for claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 9. In particular, the proposed amendments for all of the claims are presented to remove numeral designations and, hence, clarify the language of the claim. The proposed amendments for claim 7 are presented to recite limitations related to the allowable subject matter previously recited in claim 8. Consequently, claims 1, 4, and 8 are canceled, and the proposed amendments for claims 2 and 5 are presented to amend these claims to depend directly from claim 7. The proposed amendments for claims 5 and 6 are presented to refer to the third and fourth signals recited in claim 7. The proposed amendments for claims 2, 3, 5, and 6 are also presented to refer to the transceiver recited in the preamble of claim 7. These proposed amendments are supported, for example, by the original language of the claims.

The proposed amendments for claim 9 are presented to incorporate the transmitting operations previously recited in claim 10 within a general method for operating a transceiver. Consequently, claim 10 is canceled. Claims 11-13 are presented to recite limitations similar to the subject matter recited in claims 2, 3, and 6. These proposed amendments are supported, for example, by the original language of the claims.

### Interview Summary

Applicant expresses appreciation to Primary Examiner Kamran Afshar for allowing Applicant a telephonic interview on July 13, 2009, to discuss the allowable subject matter of claim 8 of the present application. In general, ways to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 8 into the remaining claims were discussed.

## CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the claims in view of the proposed amendments and the remarks made herein. A notice of allowance is earnestly solicited.

At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account **50-4019** pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.25. Additionally, please charge any fees to Deposit Account **50-4019** under 37 C.F.R. 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21.

Respectfully submitted,

/mark a. wilson/

Date: July 14, 2009

Mark A. Wilson  
Reg. No. 43,994

Wilson & Ham  
PMB: 348  
2530 Berryessa Road  
San Jose, CA 95132  
Phone: (925) 249-1300  
Fax: (925) 249-0111